THE IMPACT OF NTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION ON ENTREPRENEURIAL INTENTION OF ENGINEERING STUDENTS

LO CHOI TUNG

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

CITYU UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

APRIL 2011

CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG 香港城市大學

The Impact of Entrepreneurship Education on Entrepreneurial Intention of Engineering Students 創業教育對工程學生創業意圖的影響

Submitted to
Department of Manufacturing Engineering
and Engineering Management
製造工程及工程管理學系
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
哲學博士學位

by

Lo Choi Tung 盧彩彤

April 2011 二零一一年四月

Abstract

Entrepreneurship education has become very popular nowadays both in management schools and engineering schools. However, the impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial intention of engineering students remains in question. What is the value of entrepreneurship education? What should be taught and how to teach the subject? In order to develop guidelines for entrepreneurship education for engineering students, this thesis aims to propose an entrepreneurship education model by empirically investigating how specific education components influence the entrepreneurial intention of engineering students.

To achieve the aim, four objectives need to be addressed. The *first* one is to identify a theoretical approach and develop a conceptual model for studying the impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial intention of engineering students. The *second* one is to test the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in terms of entrepreneurial intention. The *third* one is to empirically test the influence of education components on entrepreneurial intention. Finally, the *fourth* one is to develop an entrepreneurship education model and provide guidelines for entrepreneurship education.

An extensive review on entrepreneurship and education was conducted in order to achieve the first objective. The theory of planned behavior (TPB) was found appropriate to be the theoretical basis of entrepreneurship education because it provides most information about the formation process of entrepreneurial intention at both personal and social level. Further, entrepreneurship is a planned behavior that a new business is seldom created suddenly without planning, and thus it is best predicted by entrepreneurial intention. The second objective was reached by a comparison study between entrepreneurship students and control group students. The third objective was achieved through testing the effect of specific education components on entrepreneurial intention. The fourth objective was achieved by exploring the results from the theoretical and practical perspectives.

Based on the TPB and elaboration of entrepreneurship education into four components, a conceptual model linking entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intention was proposed. Ten sets of hypotheses were formulated in the

conceptual model. A survey of 411 engineering students was conducted in order to test the model. Of the respondents, 201 took an entrepreneurship course (entrepreneurship group) and 210 did not take the entrepreneurship course (control group).

There were two major data analyses in this thesis. First, the two groups of students were compared by t-test and ANOVA. The results show that there are significant differences in their entrepreneurial intentions confirming the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education on enhancing entrepreneurial intention. Second, the conceptual model was tested by SEM (structural equation modeling) path analysis in order to identify the specific relationship between entrepreneurship education components and entrepreneurial intention. Among others, three paths are tested to be significant. They are the paths 1) from know-why to attitude toward entrepreneurship, 2) from know-who to subjective norm (i.e., social influence), and 3) from know-how to perceived behavior control (i.e., self-efficacy or capability). Further, know-what is considered as the basic element which facilitates other components. The findings also reveal significant dependent relationships among the three antecedent attitudes of entrepreneurial intention. For example, subjective norm plays an important role in facilitating attitude toward entrepreneurship as well as perceived behavioral control. Perceived behavioral control can also improve one's attitude toward entrepreneurship. The model suggests the systematic impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial intention.

Both theoretical and practical implications are explored from the results. Theoretically, this study identifies a robust approach to study the impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial intention. Further, it provides more detailed information on how entrepreneurial intention forms, considering the interrelationships among the antecedent attitudes. Moreover, this study provides significant implications for the teaching of entrepreneurship by suggesting an intention-focus approach. Practically, the findings offer useful guidelines for teachers to develop teaching strategies for entrepreneurship.

The most salient feature of this study is that it bridges specific education components and entrepreneurial intention, providing significant insight into how the key components influence the entrepreneurial attitudes and intentions of students. It is probably the first study to fill the gap in the knowledge required for fostering

entrepreneurial intention through entrepreneurship education. Further, this thesis employs SEM path analysis for modeling the students' entrepreneurial intentions. Fitness of the *overall* model (rather than the separated relationships in regression analysis) that path analysis concerns provides more reliable results on the influence of specific education components on entrepreneurial intention.

Table of Contents

Abstra	act	i
Ackno	wledgement	iv
List of	Tables	viii
List of	Figures	X
Defini	tion of Terms	xi
-	er 1: Introduction	
1.	1. Background of the Study	
	1.1.1. Importance of entrepreneurship	
	1.1.2. Importance of entrepreneurship education	
1.	2. Statement of Research Problem	
	1.2.1. Is entrepreneurship teachable?	
	1.2.2. How to teach entrepreneurship?	
	1.2.3. What are the influences of education on entrepreneurial	
1.	3. Scope, Aim, and Objectives	
	1.3.1. Scope	13
	1.3.2. Aim and objectives	18
1.	4. Significance of the Study	21
1.	5. Overview of the Study	23
Chapt	er 2: Entrepreneurship Education and Theories	30
2.	1. Definition of Key Terminologies	32
	2.1.1. Defining entrepreneurship	32
	2.1.2. Defining entrepreneurial intention	34
	2.1.3. Defining entrepreneurship education	35
2.	2. Review on Entrepreneurship Education	37
	2.2.1. Teaching contents of entrepreneurship	43
	2.2.2. Teaching methods of entrepreneurship	45
	2.2.3. Effectiveness of entrepreneurship education	
	2.2.4. Levels of entrepreneurship education	
2.	3. Entrepreneurship Education Components	
	2.3.1. Know-what	
	2.3.2. Know-why	
	2.3.3. Know-who	
	2.3.4. Know-how	
2.	4. Entrepreneurship Theories	
	2.4.1. Trait models	
	2.4.2. Criticism of trait models	

		2.4.3. Intention models	73
	2.5.	Summary of Literature Review	89
Cha	apter (3: Conceptual Model and Hypotheses	
	3.1.	The Preliminary Conceptual Model	
	3.2.	Hypotheses Formulation and the Education-Entrepreneurial Intention	
		Model	
		3.2.1. Hypotheses related to Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)	
		3.2.2. Hypotheses among entrepreneurship education components	
		3.2.3. Hypotheses between entrepreneurship education and TPB	
	3.3.	Summary of the Conceptual Model	112
Ch	anter /	4: Methodology	116
CII	4.1.		
	т.1.	4.1.1. Quantitative research design	
		4.1.2. Survey	
	4.2.	Procedures to Reduce Survey Errors	
	4.3.	Participants and Scenario of the Entrepreneurship Course	
	4.3. 4.4.	Questionnaire Development	
	4.5.	Measures	
	4.6.	Data Collection	
	4.0.	4.6.1. Pilot study	
		•	
	4.7.	4.6.2. Collecting data from the two groups	
	4.7.	Data Analysis Methods	
		4.7.1. Data screening	
		4.7.2. Sample verification	
		4.7.3. Reliability and validity	
		4.7.4. Statistical remedies for common method variance	
		4.7.5. Descriptive analysis, ANOVA and T-test	
	4.0	4.7.6. Structural equation modeling (SEM)	
	4.8.	Chapter Summary	16/
Cha	apter :	5: Results	169
	5.1.	Description of Data	170
		5.1.1. Participant profiles	
		5.1.2. Descriptive of the variables and simple correlations	171
	5.2.	Comparison of the Two Groups	
	-	5.2.1. Comparison on entrepreneurial attitudes and intention	
		5.2.2. Effect of demographic factors	
	5.3.	Model Testing	
		5.3.1. Testing the TPB model for both groups	
		5.3.2. Testing the education-entrepreneurial intention model	
	5.4.	Chapter Summary	

Chapter	6: Discussion and Implications	188
6.1.		
	6.1.1. Effectiveness of the entrepreneurship course	189
	6.1.2. Impact of demographic factors	
	6.1.3. Model Testing	
6.2.	Implications of the Study	
	6.2.1. Theoretical implication for the TPB model	
	6.2.2. Theoretical implication for entrepreneurship education	
	6.2.3. Practical implication for entrepreneurship education	
6.3.	Chapter Summary	
	1	
Chapter '	7: Conclusions	228
7.1.	Summary of the Research	228
7.2.	Innovation and Features of This Study	231
7.3.	Contributions of the Research	234
	7.3.1. Theoretical contribution	235
	7.3.2. Practical contribution	237
7.4.	Limitations	238
7.5.	Future Research	239
Bibliogra	phy	242
Appendic	ces	262
Appe	endix 1. Review of Entrepreneurial Traits	262
	endix 2. Details of the Entrepreneurship Course Offered in CityU, C	
**	and PolyU	
Appe	endix 3. Questionnaire Survey on Entrepreneurship Education for	
11	Engineering Students	274
Appe	endix 4. The Q-Q Plots of the Variables	
	endix 5. Inter-item Correlation Matrix	
List of Pu	ıblications	282

List of Tables

Table 1.	Objectives and research questions
Table 2.	Key articles on entrepreneurship education (1980s)
Table 3.	Key articles on entrepreneurship education (1990s)39
Table 4.	Key articles on entrepreneurship education (2000s)41
Table 5.	Comparison of the entrepreneurial intention models
Table 6.	Summary of major elements of research procedures118
Table 7.	Syllabi of the entrepreneurship course offered in the 3 universities129
Table 8.	Summary of measures of variables
Table 9.	Differences between the senior entrepreneurship students and the "current"
	students (49 senior students and 53 current students)
Table 10.	Differences among the students from the three universities
Table 11.	Demographic differences between the entrepreneurship and control group
Table 12.	Reliability and validity tests of the measurements (Entrepreneurship group,
	N=201)
Table 13.	Reliability and validity tests of the measurements (Control group, $N=210$).
Table 14.	Reliability and validity test of the measurements (All groups, N =411) \dots 156
Table 15.	Correlations among the variables and measurement items**
	(Entrepreneurship group, N=201)
Table 16.	Correlations among the variables and measurement items** (Control group
	N=210)
Table 17.	Corrected relationships among the factors (Entrepreneurship group) 160
Table 18.	Corrected relationships among the factors (Control group)
Table 19.	Partial correlations among the variables*
Table 20.	Goodness-of-fit measures
Table 21.	The characteristics of the participants
Table 22.	Descriptive statistics of the variables
Table 23.	Correlation among the variables** (Entrepreneurship group, N=201) 172
Table 24.	Correlation among the variables** (Control group, N=210)

Table 25.	Comparison between entrepreneurship group and control group	74
Table 26.	Test of homogeneity of variance in ANOVA (Entrepreneurship group,	
	N=201)	75
Table 27.	Test of homogeneity of variances in ANOVA (Control group, N=210)17	75
Table 28.	Effect of age, year of study, and work experience (ANOVA)17	76
Table 29.	Effect of gender (Comparing females/males between Entrep. and Control	
	group)	77
Table 30.	Effect of gender (Comparing females/males within Entrep. or Control	
	group)	77
Table 31.	Effect of role model (Comparison between Entrep. and Control group) 17	79
Table 32.	Effect of role model (Comparing females/males within each group) 17	79
Table 33.	Comparing the unconstrained and constrained models	81
Table 34.	Test results of the hypotheses	83
Table 35.	Effects decomposition for the entrepreneurship education model18	85
Table 36.	Results of Sobel test	85
Table 37.	Course intended learning outcomes (CILOs)	22
Table 38.	Teaching and learning activities (TLAs)	22
Table 39.	Assessment tasks/activities22	23

List of Figures

Figure 1.	A map of entrepreneurship literature review	.31
Figure 2.	A trait model (containing the four most salient traits)	.71
Figure 3.	Evolution of entrepreneurial intention model	.74
Figure 4.	Entrepreneurial event model (EEM)	.75
Figure 5.	Entrepreneurial intention model (EIM)	.77
Figure 6.	Revised entrepreneurial intention model (Revised EIM)	.78
Figure 7.	Theory of planned behavior (TPB)	.80
Figure 8.	An economic-psychological model of entrepreneurial intentions(EPM)	٠
		82
Figure 9.	Structural model of entrepreneurial intention (SMEI)	.83
Figure 10.	Preliminary conceptual model	.95
Figure 11.	An education-entrepreneurial intention model	112
Figure 12.	Summary of theories used for hypothesis formulation	114
Figure 13.	Testing the TPB model for the two groups	180
Figure 14.	Test results of the entrepreneurship education model	182
Figure 15.	A target shooting template for building an entrepreneurship curriculum	1
		211
Figure 16.	Teaching model of entrepreneurship	215