LARGE SCALE SEMANTIC CONCEPT DETECTION, FUSION, AND SELECTION FOR DOMAIN ADAPTIVE VIDEO SEARCH

JIANG YU-GANG

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
SEPTEMBER 2009

CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG 香港城市大學

Large Scale Semantic Concept Detection, Fusion, and Selection for Domain Adaptive Video Search

大規模語義概念的檢測、融合及選擇進行數 據域自適應視頻檢索

Submitted to
Department of Computer Science
電腦科學系
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
哲學博士學位

by

JIANG Yu-Gang 姜育剛

September 2009 二零零九年九月

ABSTRACT

This thesis investigates the problem of video search based on semantic concepts. We present approaches to handle three correlated issues that are critical to this problem: (1) how to construct an effective feature representation for semantic concept detection, (2) how to exploit semantic context to improve the detection of these concepts, and (3) how to select the most suitable concept detectors to answer user queries. In particular, as the target videos may come from different domains (genres or sources) with distinctive data characteristics, for each of the issues, we will need to cope with the domain changes.

Video frames are represented by bag-of-visual-words (BoW) derived from local keypoint features, which are invariant to rotation, scale and illumination. We first conduct a comprehensive study on the representation choices of BoW, including vocabulary size, weighting scheme, stop word removal, feature selection, spatial information, and visual bi-gram. The aim is to offer practical insights in how these choices will impact the performance of BoW for semantic concept detection. We also show how to further augment the BoW representation by exploring the linguistic and ontological aspects of visual words. A visual-word ontology is constructed to hierarchically specify their hyponym relationship, which is incorporated into BoW for improved video frame representation.

To exploit semantic context, we develop a novel and efficient domain adaptive semantic diffusion algorithm. Inter-concept relationship is modeled using a semantic graph, which treats concepts as nodes and the concept affinities as the weights of edges. It is then applied to refine the initial detection results through a function level graph diffusion process, aiming to recover the consistency and smoothness of the detection results over the graph. To handle the domain change

between training and test sets, our algorithm involves a graph adaptation process which iteratively refines the concept affinity based on the target domain data characteristics. This algorithm is efficient and scalable to large scale data sets.

For the selection of concept detectors, we focus on exploring heterogeneous knowledge sources for better measurement of query-detector similarity. Instead of using WordNet as in most existing works, we exploit the context information associated with Flickr images to estimate the similarity between queries and concept detectors. This similarity measure, named FCS, reflects the word correlation in images rather than text corpora. With an initial detector set selected by FCS for each query, we further propose a semantic context transfer algorithm that adapts the query-detector similarity to a target data set. The adaptation process is highly efficient, satisfying the critical requirement of online video search.

We evaluate all the proposed techniques on large scale video search benchmarks provided by TRECVID from years 2005 to 2008. Experimental evaluations demonstrate promising results of our techniques, and their potential to be applied to other applications such as visual object categorization and web scale image retrieval.

CONTENTS

Li	st of Figure	es	X
\mathbf{Li}	st of Tables		xiv
1	Introduction	on	1
	1.1 From A	nnotating to Searching Videos	. 1
	1.2 Thesis (Overview	. 4
	1.2.1	Concept-based Video Search	. 4
	1.2.2	Methods and Contributions	. 5
	1.3 Organiz	ation	. 9
	1.4 Publicat	tions	. 10
2	Related W	Vork	11
	2.1 Large Sc	cale Semantic Concept Detection	
	2.1.1	General Framework	. 12
	2.1.2	Feature Representation	. 14
	2.1.3	Context-based Concept Fusion	
	2.2 Concept	Selection for Video Search	. 19
	2.3 Coping	with Data Domain Changes	. 21
	2.4 Backgro	und: TREC Video Retrieval Evaluation (TRECVID)	. 22
3	_	ations of Keypoint-Based Concept Detection: A Co	
	ehensive St	udy	25
	rehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V	eudy Visual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 . 26
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represen	cudy Visual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 . 26 . 28
	rehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1	Audy Visual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 . 26 . 28 . 28
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2	Sudy Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes	25 26 28 28 29
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represes 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Wisual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 . 26 . 28 . 28 . 29 . 30
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 26 28 28 29 30 31
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represe 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	Joudy Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information	25 26 28 28 29 30 31
	rehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel O	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
	ehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represe 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel C 3.4 Empiric	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram Choices of BoW Classification al Study	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
	3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel O 3.4 Empirica 3.4.1	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram Choices of BoW Classification al Study Experimental Setup	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 36
	sehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel C 3.4 Empirica 3.4.1 3.4.2	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 36 37
	sehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel O 3.4 Empirica 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram Choices of BoW Classification al Study Experimental Setup Weighting Schemes and Vocabulary Sizes Stop Word Removal	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 36 40
	3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel C 3.4 Empiric 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram Choices of BoW Classification al Study Experimental Setup Weighting Schemes and Vocabulary Sizes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 36 40 42
	sehensive St 3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel O 3.4 Empirica 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram Choices of BoW Classification al Study Experimental Setup Weighting Schemes and Vocabulary Sizes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 40 42 42
	3.1 Bag-of-V 3.2 Represer 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.3 Kernel C 3.4 Empiric 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4	Visual-Words (BoW) Feature Intation Choices Vocabulary Size Weighting Schemes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection Spatial Information Visual Bi-gram Choices of BoW Classification al Study Experimental Setup Weighting Schemes and Vocabulary Sizes Stop Word Removal Feature Selection	25 26 28 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 40 42 42 46 48

	3.5.1 Generalizability to Other Data Sets								52
	3.5.2 Fusion with Color/Texture Features								56
	3.6 Cross-Domain Concept Detection								
	3.7 Summary								
	·								
4	8								61
	4.1 Motivation								61
	4.2 Modeling Visual Linguistics								
	4.2.1 Bag-of-Visual-Words Ontology								
	4.2.2 Linguistic Similarity of Visual Words								66
	4.3 Proximity Weighting With Visual Linguistics								68
	4.4 Linguistic Matching with CEMD								70
	4.4.1 Constraint-based EMD								71
	4.5 Experiment I: Semantic Concept Detection								73
	4.5.1 Data Set and Experimental Setup								
	4.5.2 Visual Word Weighting								
	4.6 Experiment II: Near-Duplicate Keyframe Retrieva								75
	4.6.1 Data Set and Experimental Setup								76
	4.6.2 Effect of Linguistic Similarity Measure .								
	4.6.3 Comparison of CEMD and EMD								
	4.6.4 Effect of Word Weighting								
	4.6.5 Performance on TRECVID 2006 Test Set								80
	4.7 Summary								
		·	•	•		 Ī	•	•	~ _
5	<u> </u>								84
	5.1 Motivation								84
	5.2 Preliminaries								87
	5.2.1 Notations								87
	5.2.2 Graph Diffusion								88
	5.3 Efficient Diffusion of Semantic Context								89
	5.3.1 Semantic Graph								89
	5.3.2 Semantic Diffusion								
	5.4 Domain Adaptive Semantic Diffusion								
	5.5 Experimental Setup								
	5.6 Results and Comparison								97
	5.6.1 Effect of Parameters								99
	5.6.2 Effect of Negative Correlation								
	5.6.3 Effect of Concept Affinity Estimation Me								
	5.6.4 Effect of Baseline Performance								
	5.6.5 Comparison with the State of the Arts.								
	5.6.6 Run Time								
	5.7 Summary								
	Sammay	•	•			 •	•	•	100
6	Domain Adaptive Concept Selection for Online	e S	ea	\mathbf{rc}	h				105
	6.1 Motivation								105

	6.2 Flickr (Context Similarity
	6.3 Semant	ic Context Transfer
	6.3.1	Offline Detector Refinement
	6.3.2	Online Adaptation of Query-Detector Similarity 116
	6.3.3	Algorithm Summary and Discussion
	6.4 Experim	ments
	6.4.1	Data Sets and Evaluation
	6.4.2	Query-Detector Similarity Measure
	6.4.3	Effect of Semantic Context Transfer
	6.4.4	Performance based on Query Types
	6.4.5	Comparison with the State of the Arts
	6.5 Summa	ry
7	Conclusio	n 131
	7.1 Summa	ry of Contributions
	7.2 Future	Directions
\mathbf{A}	ppendix	136
	A VIRE	O-374: LSCOM Semantic Concept Detectors 136
		entation Details
	_	with Columbia374 (CU-VIREO374)
		pad Statistics
		Concept Names
\mathbf{R}	eferences	145

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Three video clips from different news broadcasting channels. From	
	top to bottom: CCTV, MSNBC, and LBC	2
1.2	Highly diversified instances of two semantic concepts	3
1.3	System diagram of concept-based video search	5
1.4	Multiple semantic concepts co-exist in the same video frame	7
2.1	A general framework for semantic concept detection	12
2.2	Local invariant features. Salient patches are detected over multiple image scales (Hessian affine detector in this example) and then described by SIFT (128-dimensional vector). The detector and descriptor are designed to be stable over certain variations, such as orientation, scale and etc.	15
3.1	Image representation using bag-of-visual-words	27
3.2	Keyframe examples of 20 semantic categories in TRECVID 2006 data set	37
3.3	Image examples of different categories in PASCAL VOC 2005 data set.	38
2.4	Classification performance with stop word removal	
3.4	Classification performance with stop word removal	43
3.5		44
3.6	pruned using various feature selection criteria	
	laries pruned using various feature selection criteria	45
3.7	Classification performance on TRECVID 2006 using region-based features computed from different spatial partitions	47
3.8	Classification performance on PASCAL VOC 2005 using region-	
	based features computed from different spatial partitions	48
3.9	Performance on TRECVID 2006 using fusion of visual bi-grams	
	and visual words	49
3.10	Instances of flag-US with different backgrounds in TRECVID 2006	
0 11	data set	52
3.11	1	
	VOC 2007 data sets using region-based BoW features computed	
	from different spatial partitions. At each single partition choice,	
	the MAP performances are similar. However, the fusion of different spatial partition choices significantly improves the performance	
	(16% and 10% MAP improvements for TRECVID 2008 and PAS-	
	CAL VOC 2007 respectively)	54
3 19	Performance comparison with the top-50 (out of 161) official Type-	94
9.14	A runs submitted to TRECVID 2008	55
3.13	Performance comparison with the 17 official submissions of PAS-	55
5.10	CAL VOC 2007.	55

3.14	within-domain and cross-domain concept detection performance	
	on TRECVID 2007 using BoW and global features (CM+WT)	
	respectively. The concepts are in descending order of frequency in	
	TRECVID 2007 test set (from left to right)	59
4.1	Comparing keyframe similarity using bag-of-visual-words	62
4.2	Visual word ontology (b) as a bridge between expensive keypoint	
	matching (a) and simple bin-to-bin word comparison (c)	64
4.3	Proximity-weighting scheme: (a) keypoint p_i is softly assigned to	
	multiple visual word v_{i1} and v_{ii} ; (b) information content (cluster	
	sizes of v_1 and v_2) is used to assess the importance of v_2 to keypoint	
	p	69
4.4	Sensitivity to parameter α	74
4.5	Examples of near-duplicate keyframes: (a) different acquisition	
	time; (b) lens variations; (c) video editing	76
4.6	NDK retrieval performance on Columbia data set: (a) Comparison	. 0
1.0	of linguistic measures; (b) Performance of CEMD versus EMD; (c)	
	Effect of word weighting on CEMD	78
4.7	NDK retrieval performance on TRECVID 2006 test set	81
4.8	Examples of near-duplicate keyframes retrieved by CEMD-Soft.	01
1.0	The left most five examples are query keyframes, followed by the	
	most similar retrieved keyframes. The true positives are marked	
	in red boxes	82
5.1	Illustration of context-based video annotation. (a) Top 5 video	ـ
0.1	shots of concept desert according to the annotation scores from an	
	existing pre-trained detector, in which the semantic context was	
	not considered. (b) Refined shot list by semantic diffusion. The	
	subgraph on the top shows two concepts with higher correlations	
	to desert. Line width indicates graph edge weight. (c) Refined	
	subgraph and shot list by the proposed domain adaptive seman-	
	tic diffusion (DASD). The graph adaptation process in DASD is	
	able to refine concept relationship which in turn can further help	
	improve the annotation accuracy	86
5.2	A fraction of the semantic graph before and after domain adapta-	00
0.2	tion. Thick edges represent strong correlation between concepts,	
	quantified by the values nearby the edges. (a) The initial concept	
	relationship computed using the manual annotations on TRECVID	
	2005 development set; (b) The updated concept relationship for	
	TRECVID 2007 test set after performing domain adaptation (more	
	explanations in Section 5.6)	90
5.3	Example keyframes of the concepts evaluated in TRECVID 2005–	
J.J	2007. The upper part shows examples from broadcast news videos	
	in 2005 and 2006, while the lower part shows examples from doc-	
	umentary videos in 2007. Note that the appearance of the same	
	concept from the two data domains may be visually very different.	96
	The state of the s	50

5.4	Per-concept performance before and after semantic diffusion on	
	TRECVID 2006 test set. Consistent improvements are observed	
	for all of the 20 semantic concepts	8
5.5	The MAP performance on TRECVID 2006 test set under various	
	parameter settings	0
5.6	Performance of DASD using various baselines on TRECVID 2006	
	test set. The text under blue and orange bars indicates the feature	
	used in the corresponding SVM detector	2
6.1	System architecture for domain adaptive video search, illustrated	
	using a query from TRECVID 2007. Flickr context similarity is	
	firstly applied to select a relevant detector set, which is then adap-	
	tively refined through transferring semantic context learnt from	
	target data domain. The search performance in terms of average	
	precision over the top-10 retrieved video shots is significantly im-	
	proved by 164% after domain adaptation. The video shot rank lists	
	are ordered from left to right and top to bottom (false positives	
	are marked in red boxes)	8
6.2	(a) Rich context information associated with a Flickr image. (b)	
	The total number of images returned using keyword-based search	_
0.0	in Flickr image context	9
6.3	The frequency of 374 LSCOM semantic concepts in various sources.	1
C 1	Note that the Y-axis is plotted in log scale	1
6.4	Performance comparison of different query-detector similarity mea-	19
6.5	surements on TV05-08 test sets	O
0.5	MAP performance on TV05 by varying the number of iterations for online semantic context transfer	6
6.6	Performance of different query types. The number of queries for	U
0.0	each type is shown in the parenthesis. PT and NE stand for person-	
	things and name-entity respectively	8
6.7	MAP comparison with the top-50 (out of 82) official submissions	
0.1	of the automatic video search task in TRECVID 2008	0
7.1	Top search results of query <i>Tiger</i> from video sharing site YouTube.	_
•••	The three videos are respectively about golf star <i>Tiger Woods</i> , an-	
	imal tiger and an entertainment program from Tiger Beat Magazine. 13	4
A.1	VIREO-374 detection results: top ranked shots of concept <i>US_flags</i>	
	in TRECVID 2006 test set	7
A.2	Data partitions in TRECVID 2007 and 2008. Both development	
	and test data of TRECVID 2007 are used as development data of	
	TRECVID 2008	9
A.3	Per-concept performance on TRECVID 2006 test data. The mod-	
	els tested here are trained using TRECVID 2005 development data. 14	0
A.4	Per-concept performance on TRECVID 2007 test data. The mod-	
	els tested here are re-trained using TRECVID 2007 development	
	data	0

A.5	Performance comparison of VIREO-374, Columbia374, and their	
	fusion with all official TRECVID 2006 concept detection systems.	141
A.6	Performance comparison of VIREO-374, Columbia374, and their	
	fusion with all official TRECVID 2007 concept detection systems.	141

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Descriptions of TV05–08 data sets. The total number of video	
	shots in each data set is shown in the parenthesis. The 160h (100h)	กา
0.1	videos from TV05 (07) are used as development data for TV06 (08).	23
3.1	The mean EER on PASCAL VOC 2005 data set using different	
	weighting schemes and vocabulary sizes. The best result at each	4.0
	vocabulary size is shown in bold	40
3.2	The MAP on TRECVID 2006 data set using different weighting	
	schemes and vocabulary sizes. The best result at each vocabulary	
	size is shown in bold.	40
3.3	The detection performance on TRECVID 2006 and PASCAL VOC	
	2005 data sets using SVM with different kernels. The best results	
	are given in bold. (Note that the evaluation metrics are different	
	on the two data sets)	50
3.4	MAP performance of fusing BoW with color moment (CM) and/or	
	wavelet (WT) on TRECVID 2008 data set. The percentage in	
	the parenthesis shows the degree of improvement over BoW only	
	feature (2rd column)	57
3.5	MAP performance on TRECVID 2007 data set, using models trained	
	on TRECVID 2005 and 2007 respectively. The percentages show	
	the performance drop of cross-domain detection compared to within-	
	domain detection using the same feature representation	58
4.1	Performance (mean InfAP) of the proposed proximity-weighting	
	scheme on TRECVID 2006 test set.	75
4.2	Per query NDK retrieval efficiency on TRECVID 2006 test set	82
5.1	Overall performance gain (relative improvement) on TRECVID	
	2005–2007 data sets. SD: semantic diffusion. DASD: domain adap-	
	tive semantic diffusion	98
5.2	Performance comparison of DASD with several existing works	102
5.3	Run time of SD and DASD on TRECVID 2005–2007 data sets.	
	The experiments are conducted on a Intel Core 2 Duo 2.2GHz PC	
	with 2G RAM	103
6.1	Detector selection using various query-detector similarity measure-	
	ments. The detectors are selected based on the query words shown	
	in bold	123
6.2	Search performance on TV05–08. MAP- k means MAP over top k	
	ranked shots ³	125
6.3	Significance test based on query types. $x \gg y$ means x is signifi-	
		128
6.4	Performance comparison on TV05–08 test sets	129
A.1	Features used in VIREO-374 and Columbia374	138

A.2	Total number of download requests received for VIREO-374 models
	and their detection scores on each year's TRECVID data set 141
A.3	List of concept names and per-concept development data (DD) of
	CU-VIREO374 models