# THE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT DESIGN FACTORS ON COMPREHENSION PERFORMANCE AND SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION FOR READING CHINESE ON LED DISPLAY

SO CHUNG YIN

MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY
CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
NOVEMBER 2009

## CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG 香港城市大學

The Effects of Different Design Factors on Comprehension Performance and Subjective Evaluation for Reading Chinese on LED Display

> 不同顯示方式 對發光二極管電子顯示屏之 中文閱讀表現及影響

Submitted to
Department of Manufacturing Engineering and
Engineering Management
製造工程及工程管理學系
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Philosophy
哲學碩士學位

by

So Chung Yin 蘇頌燕

November 2009 二零零九年十一月

#### ABSTRACT

Dynamic display systems are widely used in information communicating technology. Most of these display systems employ cathode ray tubes, liquid crystal displays, gas plasma displays, or light-emitting diodes (LED) as the output device. LED display simply acts as a display output unit like a computer screen, which provides a means of delivering messages or advertisements to customers or people. In order to overcome the limitations of screen size of the display units, numerous means of presenting moving materials on dynamic display have been invented. The advanced display technology offers a selection of colors, display methods, display rates, and highlighting methods, which have improved the presentation of textual information. The text display method, character type, text display direction, text color, and other features can be freely chosen by designers or operators. Thus, the different combinations of the selected factors would affect the readability of electronic text. However, all the previous findings on optimal dynamic text display and readability of electronic text were focused on small screens, such as cellular phones, pagers, and desktop phones. There has been an obvious lack of consideration of reading situations in which the displays are located at a distance from the observers, especially when reading Chinese characters. Therefore, there is a need to understand the effects of the display factors on the readability of electronic text in order to design better display interfaces on large displays written in Chinese.

Three experiments were conducted in this research on investigating the effects of display factors on comprehension performance and subject preference for reading Chinese characters on LED displays. In each experiment, objective performance measures and subjective evaluation measures were collected. The objective performance measure consisted of the comprehension score, which denoted the accuracy of subject responses to a set of multiple-choice questions in a comprehension task following the reading passage. The preference rating was a subjective evaluation of the testing display format given by the readers reflecting individual preference, which should also be considered when designing an optimal display method. The subjective evaluation questionnaire investigated the subjects' preferences towards a reading interface by having them evaluate reading comfort, reading ease, eyestrain, musculoskeletal strain, mental demand, usability, and overall preference.

Experiment 1 was performed by examining the effects of display method, display text rate, and observation angle on comprehension performance and preference on LED displays. The results indicated that a display rate of 160 characters per min (cpm) was found to be superior to 240 cpm and 320 cpm in terms of higher comprehension scores and better subjective evaluations. The interaction between display method and text display rate had a significant effect on reading comprehension. Observation angle and display method were found to be non-significant for both comprehension performance and subjective evaluation.

Experiment 2 investigated the effects of display method, number of message lines, and display color on comprehension performance and preference for LED displays. The results showed that green text was superior, with higher comprehension scores than amber and red. The condition with the RSVP display method resulted in higher comprehension scores than the scrolling with pauses method. However the scrolling with pauses condition had better subjective evaluation ratings than the RSVP method. A multi-line display was found to be superior to a single-line display, yielding higher comprehension scores and subjective evaluations.

Experiment 3 was conducted to evaluate the effects of text direction and highlighting methods of color coding and font format on comprehension performance and preference for LED displays. The results indicated that subjects achieved better comprehension in the highlighting conditions with color coding (red) than those without color coding. It was also noted that regular format with color coding (red) resulted in the best comprehension. Font format and text direction did not significantly affect comprehension or subjective evaluations. Furthermore, the validity of highlighting was also examined and the results showed that the condition with 100% validity of highlighting was found to result in better comprehension performance than other validity levels and conditions with no highlighting.

The three experiments successfully revealed the design factors that would affect the readability of electronic text. According to readability and preference ratings of the subjects in different conditions, the optimal display method, color, highlighting method, and its validity for comprehending the delivered messages were investigated. These results provide useful design recommendations for using LED displays for advertising and delivering messages to the public.

In summary, this dissertation 1) investigated the effects of display factors on comprehension performance and subject preference for reading Chinese characters on LED displays, 2) investigated the comprehension performance under the conditions of different highlighting validities and highlighting methods, 3) established general ergonomics guidelines applicable to various display configurations for determining the optimum dynamic text display methods, and 4) provided information for formulating further research plans for determining the optimum dynamic text display methods on LED display boards.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		GEMENTS	
		NTENTS	
		ES	
		RES	
		E	
1.1		ound	
1.2		ch aim	
1.3		ch objectives	
1.4		organization	
		O	
		ew	
2.1	_	factors of dynamic text reading	
	2.1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2.1.2	Text display method	
		1.2.1 RSVP	
		1.2.2 Leading (Times Square)	
	2.1.3	1.2.3 Scrolling	
	2.1.3	Chinese character type	
	2.1.4	Number of message lines Color	
		1.5.1 Text color	
		1.5.2 Color combination	
		2.1.5.2.1 Contrast ratio	
		2.1.5.2.2 Color difference	
	2.1.6	Text display direction	
	2.1.7	Summary	
2.2		on visual performance	
2.2	2.2.1	Observation angle	
	2.2.2	Angular separation.	
	2.2.3	Visual angle	
	2.2.4	Elevation angle	
2.3		of highlighting	
	2.3.1	Highlighting method	
	2.3.2	Validity of highlighting	19
2.4	Chinese	e and English writing systems	
2.5	Eye mo	ovement in reading Chinese and English text	21
2.6	Subject	tive evaluation of reading interfaces	22
	2.6.1	The Likert scale	
2.7	Data ar	nalysis methods	23
	2.7.1	Analysis of Variance	23
	2.7.2	Correlation analysis	
	2.7.3	Kruskal-Wallis test	24
	2.7.4	Wilcoxon Signed-rank test	
	2.7.5	Mann-Whitney U test	
	2.7.6	Friedman test	25

_	ER THREE	26
Experim	ent One: Effects of Display Method, Text Display Rate and Obs	ervation
Angle on	Comprehension Performance and Subjective Preferences in re	ading
Chinese	on an LED Display	26
3.1	Introduction	26
3.2	Aim	30
3.3	Objectives	30
3.4	Method	
	3.4.1 Subjects	
	3.4.2 Material	
	3.4.3 Apparatus	
	3.4.4 Design	
	3.4.5 Procedure	
3.5	Results	
3.3	3.5.1 Comprehension performance	
	3.5.2 Subjective evaluations	
	3.5.3 Correlations amongst the eight subjective evaluation attri	
	3.5.4 Relationships amongst comprehension score and si	
	evaluations	3
3.6	Discussion	
3.7	Conclusion	
_	ER FOUR	
	ent Two: Effects of Display Method, Number of Message Lines	
	Chinese Comprehension and Subjective Preferences for an LE	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4.1	Introduction	
4.2	Aim	
4.3	Objectives	
4.4	Method	
	4.4.1 Subjects	
	4.4.2 Material	49
	4.4.3 Apparatus	49
	4.4.4 Design	51
	4.4.5 Procedure	51
4.5	Results	52
	4.5.1 Comprehension performance	52
	4.5.2 Subjective preference	56
	4.5.3 Correlations amongst the eight subjective evaluation attr	ributes58
	4.5.4 Relationships amongst comprehension score and st	
	evaluations	
4.6	Discussion	
4.7	Conclusion.	
	ER FIVE	
_	ent Three: Effects of Highlighting Method and Text Direction o	
	hension Performance and Subjective Preferences for reading Ch	
	Display	
5.1	Introduction	
5.1		
	Aim	
5.3	Objectives	
5.4	Method	0ð

	5.4.1	Subjects	68
	5.4.2	Material	69
	5.4.3	Apparatus	69
	5.4.4	Design	71
	5.4.5	Procedure	72
5.5	Results		73
	5.5.1	Comprehension performance	73
	5.5.2	Subjective evaluations	75
	5.5.3	Correlations amongst the eight subjective evaluation	attributes77
	5.5.4	Relationship amongst comprehension score and	subjective
		evaluation	78
	5.5.5	Influence of highlighting validity on dynamic text con	nprehension
		performance	79
5.6	Discuss	sion	80
5.7	Conclus	sion	84
<b>CHAPT</b>	TER SIX.		85
Conclus	sion		85
6.1		discussion	
6.2	Contrib	utions of study	88
6.3	Limitat	ions of study and recommendations on future work	88
REFER	ENCES.		90
LIST O	F APPEN	NDICES	90
PURLIC	CATION	S GENERATED FROM THIS THESIS	118

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	different levels of task factors.	•
Table 3.2	Results of ANOVA performed on comprehension scores.	p.36
Table 3.3	Results of Bonferroni multiple comparisons performed on comprehension scores with the main factor of text display rate (cpm).	p.36
Table 3.4	The means and standard deviations of evaluation ratings on a 9-point scale ranging from 1 (not at all / excellent) to 9 (very much / very bad) for different levels of task factors.	p.38
Table 3.5	Results of Friedman test performed on differences of evaluation rating for the factor of text display rate.	p.39
Table 3.6	Spearman correlation coefficients amongst the eight attributes of the subjective evaluation questionnaire ( $n = 528$ ).	p.40
Table 3.7	Spearman correlation coefficients amongst the eight subjective evaluation attributes and comprehension score ( $n = 528$ ).	p.40
Table 4.1	CIE $(x, y)$ chromaticity coordinates, luminance $(L)$ of text colors used in the experiments and luminous contrasts and contract ratios of the text on black background.	p.50
Table 4.2	Descriptive statistics of mean comprehension score (%) for different levels of task factors.	p.52
Table 4.3	Results of repeated measures ANOVA performed on comprehension scores.	p.54
Table 4.4	Results of Bonferroni multiple comparisons performed on comprehension scores with the main factor of number of message lines.	p.54
Table 4.5	Results of Bonferroni multiple comparisons performed on comprehension scores with the main factor of text color.	p.55
Table 4.6	The means and standard deviations of preference ratings on a 9-point scale ranging from 1 (not at all / excellent) to 9 (very much / very bad) for different levels of task factors.	p.57
Table 4.7	Results of Friedman tests performed on differences of preference rating for the factors of display method and number of message lines.	p.58
Table 4.8	Spearman correlation coefficients amongst the eight attributes of the subjective evaluation questionnaire ( $n = 264$ ).	p.59
Table 4.9	Spearman correlation coefficients amongst the eight attributes on comprehension score ( $n = 264$ ).	p.59
Table 5.1	The factors and their levels studied in the experiment.	p.72
Table 5.2	Descriptive statistics of mean comprehension score (%) for different levels of task factors.	p.73
Table 5.3 Table 5.4	Results of ANOVA performed on comprehension scores. Results of Bonferroni multiple comparisons performed on	p.74 p.75

	comprehension scores for the interaction of color coding and	
	font format (cpm).	
Table 5.5	The means and standard deviations of evaluation ratings on a 9-point scale ranging from 1 (not at all / excellent) to 9 (very	p.76
	much / very bad) for different levels of task factors.	
Table 5.6	Results of nonparametric test performed on differences between evaluation ratings for the factors of color coding and te direction.	p.77
Table 5.7	Spearman correlation coefficients amongst the eight attributes of the subjective evaluation questionnaire ( $n = 380$ ).	p.78
Table 5.8	Spearman correlation coefficients amongst the eight subjective evaluation attributes and comprehension score ( $n = 380$ ).	p.78
Table 5.9	Results of Bonferroni multiple comparisons performed on comprehension scores for the factor of validity of highlighting.	p.80

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Illustration of the concept of visual angle. D is the distance from the eyes to the object. L is the size of the object measured perpendicular to the line of sight. $\alpha$ is the visual angle.	p.16
Figure 2.2	Visual angles within a comfortable range (Baker, 1999).	p.17
Figure 3.1	Demonstration of text message presented on the LED display.	p.32
Figure 3.2	An illustration of the seven observation angles tested and the corresponding angular separations of the display at a distance of 3 m from the center of the sign. The black dots indicate the observers' locations.	p.33
Figure 3.3	(a) A sample passage and (b) three sample questions used for demonstration at the beginning of the experiment.	p.34
Figure 3.4	Two-way interaction plots of display method and text display rate.	p.37
Figure 4.1	Sample text messages presented on the LED display: (a) single-line message with amber text (b) 3-line message with green text and (c) 4-line message with red text.	p.50
Figure 4.2	The graph of the comprehension performance for different number of message lines conditions.	p.55
Figure 4.3	Two-way interaction plot of comprehension score (%) for text color and number of message lines.	p.56
Figure 5.1	Demonstration of text messages presented on the LED display in horizontal (a-d) and vertical (e-h) directions: No highlighting - green text in regular format (a and e); with color (red) coding only (b and f); bold format only (c and g); and, with both color (red) coding and bold format (d and h).	p.70-71
Figure 5.2	Two-way interaction plots of color coding and font format.	p.75
Figure 5.3	The graph of the comprehension performance of different validity of highlighting conditions.	p.79