

CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG  
香港城市大學

Assessment of organochlorines and environmental endocrine disruptors among  
different environmental compartments in Hong Kong mudflats

有機氯及環境荷爾蒙干擾物於香港泥灘不同環境間隔分佈調查

Submitted to  
Department of Biology and Chemistry  
生物及化學系

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
for the Degree of Master of Philosophy

by

Wong Hiu Lam  
王曉藍

May 2003  
二零零四年五月

## Abstract

In this study, persistent organic pollutants, e.g. organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), were measured in different environmental compartments, including aerial deposition, seawater, sediment and biota in different areas within the Mai Po Marshes Nature Reserve (MPMNR), an internationally acclaimed wetland reserve situated in the western part of the New territories of Hong Kong, as well as A Chau in Starling Inlet, a relatively remote island on the eastern side. Hexachlorobenzene (HCB), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethanes (DDTs), and hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCHs) were detected in all samples collected from MPMNR. Seasonal and spatial variations of the concentrations of OCs in various environmental compartments were investigated, and were correlated with meteorological and physico-chemical conditions prevailing at the sites.

Environmental endocrine disruptors, including dioxin-like compounds and estrogenic chemicals, were measured using a cell-based chemical activated luciferase expression assay, and the results indicated that these chemicals might pose a risk to the ecological systems in the Ma Po area. Dioxin-like PCBs were detected in some of the samples, but levels were, in general, low. In this investigation, the toxic equivalency (TEQ) values of most samples were attributed to relatively high concentrations ( $>100\text{ng/g}$  dry weight in sediment) of high molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), while levels of non-ortho substituted PCBs were comparatively low. Estrogenic compounds were detected in the sediments, and these were dominated by polar compounds. Significant levels of cytotoxic compounds were detected in fish samples collected from the Mai Po area, but not in fish collected from A Chau. The precise identities of these cytotoxic compounds are still unknown.

Although the concentrations of chlordane, dieldrin, DDTs, and HCHs in the sediments were higher than the threshold effects levels (TEs) or even probable effects levels (PEs) promulgated by regulatory authorities, relative low degrees of bioaccumulation were found by comparing biota-sediment accumulation factors (BSAF) and results from bioconcentration regression models.

Sampling for bulk deposition was conducted from August, 2002 to July, 2003 in Mai Po and A Chau. HCB ( $0.06\text{-}0.68\text{ng/m}^2/\text{day}$ ) was the dominant pollutants in the deposited samples among the OCs in Mai Po. The level of HCB deposited at both sites were several to several ten fold greater compared with the Great Lakes (North America). Other atmospheric deposited OCs, like DDTs, HCHs, endosulfans, chlordane and dioxin-like

PCBs were detected at lower levels in the samples from both sites. Simplified modelling for selected OCs was conducted to estimate the proportion of OCs in the sediment, water and air and the potential sources. Atmospheric depositions were shown to contribute a small proportion compared with the seawater input. Hence negative flux of those OCs might exist which transfer those OCs contaminants from the sediment to other environmental compartments.

Overall, results of the present study indicate that the management practices currently adopted in MPMNR are effective in reducing the potential risks of environmental contaminants to waterbirds and human. This study also raises questions in the use of sediment quality criteria as toxicity benchmarks for protecting local ecological systems, and highlights the importance of bioavailability considerations in risk assessment procedures.

## Table of Content

Abstract	i
Table of Content	iii
Declaration	ix
Acknowledgements	x
List of Abbreviation	xi
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xvi

### Chapter 1: Coastal environments of China, The Pearl River Estuary and Hong Kong

1.1 Review of OCs along the coastal area in China	1
1.2 Review of organic pollutants in Hong Kong and Pearl River Delta	6
1.2.1 Organic pollution in Hong Kong	6
1.2.2 Influence of Pearl River on organic pollutant in Hong Kong	7
Environments	
1.3 Introduction of Mai Po and A Chau and their related environmental stress	9
1.3.1 Importance of Mai Po and A Chau wetland	9
1.3.2 Organic pollution threaten on Mai Po and A Chau habitats	10
1.4 Summary	13
1.5 Reference	15

### Chapter 2: Environmental endocrine disruptors and biological screening assay

2.1 Mechanisms of actions of hormones	19
2.2 Definition of exogenous endocrine disruptors	21
2.3 Cytotoxicity	22
2.4 Environmental endocrine disruptors	22
2.4.1 Halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons (HAHs)	22
2.4.1.1 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	23

2.4.1.2 Organochlorine (OC) pesticides	26
2.4.2 Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)	29
2.4.3 Definition of Toxic Equivalency factors (TEFs)	30
2.5 In vitro bioassay	32
2.5.1 Cell Based Reporter Gene System – Luciferase Expression Bioassay	34
2.5.2 Relative potency (REP) estimation	35
2.6 Summary	37
2.7 Reference	38
Chapter 3 The distribution of OCs in various environmental compartments	
3.1 Importance of studying the transportation pathways of OC pesticides and PCB for risk management	43
3.2 Compartments for pollutant partitioning	43
3.2.1 Atmospheric transportation	45
3.2.2 Aquatic transportation	46
3.2.3 Sediment compartment	47
3.2.4 Biota	48
3.3. Summary	49
3.4 Reference	50
Chapter 4 Sampling sites and objectives of the study	
4.1 Sampling sites	52
4.1.1 Fish Pond	53
4.1.2 <i>Gei wai</i> and near the gate	53
4.1.3 Mid of the mangrove	53

4.1.4 Mudflat near the birdviewing hide	54
4.1.5 Inner Deep Bay	54
4.1.6 A Chau	54
4.2 Scope of the study	55
4.3 Reference	56
Chapter 5 Materials and Methods	57
5.1 Sample collections	57
5.1.1 Deposition sample collections	57
5.1.2 Seawater sampling	57
5.1.3 Sediment sampling	57
5.1.4 Biota sampling	58
5.2 Extraction and fractation	58
5.3 Instrumental analysis	60
5.4 Bioassay	62
5.5 Sampling efficiency	62
5.6 Reference	67
Chapter 6 Sampling summary	
6.1 Sampling results	68
6.1.1 Collection of abiotic samples	68
6.1.1.1 Sediment Grain size and total organic carbon	69
6.1.1.2 Meteorological information for sampling period	69
6.1.2 Collection of biotic samples	71
6.2 Summary	74

6.3 Reference	75
---------------	----

## Chapter 7 OC pesticides and PCBs in various environmental compartments from Mai

Po and AChau

7.1 Introduction	76
7.2 Materials and Methods	77
7.3 Results	77
7.3.1 OCs in Seawater	79
7.3.2 Sediment compartment	83
7.3.3 Biotic compartment	94
7.4 Discussion	110
7.4.1 DDTs	110
7.4.2 HCB	114
7.4.3 HCHs	115
7.4.4 Cyclodienes	117
7.4.5 PCBs	120
7.4.6 Short summary of instrumental analysis	121
7.4.7 Preliminary Risk assessment for the sediment and biota	122
7.4.8 Analysis for OCs by comparing with equilibrium partition theory	129
7.5 Conclusion	138
7.6 Reference	139

## Chapter 8 Preliminary evaluation of POP deposition and the fluxes estimated measurements in multiple environmental compartments in Mai Po

and A Chau

8.1 Introduction	142
8.2 Materials and Methods	143
8.2.1 Deposition sample collection	143
8.2.2. Analysis of the sampling	145
8.2.3 Modeling for mass balance analysis	145
8.3 Result and Discussions	147
8.3.1.1 HCB	155
8.3.1.2 HCHs	157
8.3.1.3 DDTs	158
8.3.1.4 Endosulfans	159
8.3.1.5 PCBs	160
8.3.2 Mass Balance analysis	161
8.4 Conclusion	165
8.5 Reference	166

Chapter 9 Bioanalytical characterization of endocrine disrupting and cytotoxic  
Effects in environmental samples collected from Mai Po and A Chau

9.1 Introduction	168
9.2 Materials and Methods	169
9.3 Results and discussions	170
9.3.1 Dioxinlike compounds screening – H4IIE-luc bioassay	173
9.3.1.1 Dioxin like response of sediment by H4IIE-luc screening	173
9.3.1.2 Instrumental analysis of PAHs in the samples with positive bioassay induction	179



9.3.1.3 Dioxin-like compounds in animal samples	182
9.3.1.4 Composition of PAHs in the biota samples with induction of H4IIE-luc bioassay	185
9.3.2 Cytotoxicity test	187
9.3.3 Estrogen-like compounds screening – MVLN-luc bioassay	192
9.4 Conclusion	198
9.5 Reference	200
Chapter 10 General Conclusion	203

## List of Tables

### Chapter 1

- Table 1.1** HCHs and DDTs concentration in Chinese coastal seawater during winter and summer season.
- Table 1.2.** Summary of DDTs in the sediment from Chinese Coastal areas.
- Table 1.3** HCHs (ng/g d.w.) in the sediment along the coastal area in China.

### Chapter 2

- Table 2.1** Summary of endocrine disrupting effects from selected OC pesticides.

### Chapter 5

- Table 5.1** Summary of the sampling date for biotic and abiotic factors from Mai Po and A Chau.
- Table 5.2** Recovery of organochlorine pesticides and PCBs with acid treatment (means $\pm$ standard deviations).
- Table 5.3** Comparison of OC pesticides in CRM 2978 from measured and listed values.
- Table 5.4** Recovery of OC pesticides in spiking experiments in various samples. MDL were method detection limit. DS were dissolved fractions.; PM were particulate matters.
- Table 5.5** Recoveries of PCBs in spiking experiments in various samples. MDL: method detection limit. DS were dissolved fractions.; PM were particulate matters.

### Chapter 6

- Table 6.1** Summary of abiotic samples collected in June 2002 and Jan 2003.
- Table 6.2** Summary of the characteristics of the collected sediment samples.
- Table 6.3** Physical and meteorological information in seasonal weighed approach.
- Table 6.4** Dried w.t.. of the planktons in different sampling sites.
- Table 6.5** Summary of the biological samples in Mai Po.
- Table 6.6** Summary of the biological samples in A Chau.

### Chapter 7

- Table 7.1** OC pesticides in the seawater from Mai Po and A Chau in the dry and wet seasons.
- Table 7.2** Concentration of PCBs in the seawater collected from Mai Po and A Chau (ng/L) in the dry and wet seasons; I-TEQ for various animals were expressed as pg TCDD-eqv/L.
- Table 7.3** Distribution of Cyclodienes (ng/g d.w. $\pm$  standard deviations) in Mai Po and A Chau in the wet and dry seasons.
- Table 7.4.** Concentration of different PCB congeners in the sediment samples from Mai Po and A Chau collected in the dry and wet seasons.
- Table 7.5.** Concentrations of DDTs normalized with lipid weight (ng/g lipid weight $\pm$  standard

deviation) in Mai Po (a) and A Chau (b). (Dry) dry season; (Wet) wet season. N.D. indicates OC concentration under detection limit.

- Table 7.6** DDDs/DDEs and (DDD+DDE)/ total DDTs ratios of biotic samples.
- Table 7.7** Concentration of HCHs in biota samples (ng/g lipid w.t.±standard deviations) collected from Mai Po (a) and A Chau (b). (D) : Dry Season; (W) : Wet season .N.D. indicates OCs concentration under detection limit.
- Table 7.8** OC pesticides (Cyclodienes and HCB) normalized with lipid w.t. ±standard deviations from Mai Po biota. Dry season (D), Wet Season (W).
- Table 7.9** OC pesticides normalized with lipid w.t. (means±standard deviations) from A Chau biota . Dry season (D), Wet season (W).
- Table 7.10** Concentrations of PCB (ng/g lipid w.t.±standard deviations) in biota from Mai Po. Dry Season (D), Wet Season (W).
- Table 7.11.** PCBs concentrations in biota collected from A Chau. Dry season (D), Wet Season (W).
- Table 7.12** Summary of Hexachlorobenzene in sediment from around the world (ng/g).
- Table 7.13** Distribution of HCHs (ng/g.d.w.) along the coastal areas of China.
- Table 7.14** Risk Quotient of the OC pesticides in various sediment samples in dry and wet seasons.
- Table 7.15** OC pesticides in sediment in the dry and wet season exceeding the Probable Effect Level (PEL) or Apparent Effects threshold-low (AET-L).
- Table 7.16** OC (ng/g wet weight) concentration of fish (means±standard deviations ). except Dioxin Equivalency (pg/g wet weight).
- Table 7.17** Commonly Used Quantitative structure activity relationships (QSARs) for Estimating BCF. (ICCA,1998).
- Table 7.18** Theoretical log BCF obtained by regression models and their corresponding experimental log  $K_{ow}$  in the Mai Po and A Chau biota.
- Table 7.19** log Koc of OC pesticides in the sediment and water in Mai Po and A Chau.
- Table 7.20** The relationship of log Koc of PCBs in sediment from Mai Po and A Chau.
- Table 7.21.** Biota-sediment accumulation factor of various biota in Mai Po.
- Table 7.22** Biota-sediment accumulation factor (BSAF) of various biota in A Chau.

## **Chapter 8**

- Table 8.1.** Sampling information and meteorological parameters for bulk deposition. All samples were collected between 9:30am and 10:30am at both sites.
- Table 8.2.** Daily Deposition fluxes of OCs in A Chau and Mai Po from August, 2002 to July 2003.
- Table 8.3** Comparison of daily deposition flux in Mai Po and A Chau with the Great lakes (IADN, 1998).
- Table 8.4** Season weighted deposition flux±standard deviations of HCB in Mai Po and A Chau.
- Table 8.5** Season weighted deposition flux of HCHs in Mai Po and A Chau.

**Table 8.6** Season weighted deposition flux ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^2$  means  $\pm$ standard deviations) of DDTs in Mai Po and A Chau.

**Table 8.7** Season weighted deposition flux ( $\text{ng}/\text{m}^2$   $\pm$ standard deviations) of endosulfans and chlordanes at Mai Po and A Chau.

**Table 8.8.** Predicted sedimentation rates at selected indicator point (Highway Department, Hong Kong, 2002).

**Table 8.9** Estimation of the ratio of OCs from atmospheric deposition and sedimentation on a seasonal basis.

## **Chapter 9**

**Table 9.1** Summary of the OCs in the sediment samples from Mai Po and A Chau.

**Table 9.2** Summary of the OCs in the biota samples from Mai Po and A Chau.

**Table 9.3** TCDD-like activity of sediment samples ( $n=4$ ) 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents (TCDD-EQs $\pm$ standard deviations) determined with *in vitro* bioassays. TCDD-EQs determined by the response equivalency approach at the level of response equivalent to 10% (F3) and 20% (F2) median effective concentration (EC10 and EC20) of the maximal response produced by the standard TCDD max are presented.

**Table 9.4** PAH ( $\text{ng}/\text{g}$  dw)  $\pm$  standard deviation concentration in the sediment ( $n=4$ ) of Mai Po collected during the wet and dry seasons. Relative potency of TCDDs are expressed as  $\text{pg}\pm$ standard deviation  $\text{pg REP-TCDD}/\text{g d.w.}$ .

**Table 9.5.** Relative Potency (REP) of biota in both seasons.

**Table 9.6** Composition of PAHs in the biota ( $n=4$ ) with induction of H4IIE-luc bioassay. PAHs are in  $\text{ng}/\text{g}$  mean $\pm$ standard deviation lipid w.t. and REP are expressed as  $\text{ngREP-TCDD}/\text{g lipid w.t.}$ .

**Table 9.7** Relative potency (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) of E2 like response of sediment samples by MVLN-luc.

## List of Figures

### Chapter 1

- Figure 1.1.** Map of Pearl River Delta.
- Figure 1.2.** Location of Mai Po Marsh and the routes for several valuable waterbirds in the East Asian – Australasian Flyway (from WWF(HK), 2003).

### Chapter 2

- Figure 2.1.** Simplified signal transduction pathways of hormonal regulated response.
- Figure. 2.2** Simplified diagram for the working definition of endocrine disruptor in this study
- Figure 2.3.** Chemical formula of PCBs.
- Figure. 2.4** Typical examples of ortho and non-ortho structure PCB.
- Figure 2.5** Chemical structure of DDT.
- Figure. 2.6** Schematic representation of a cell-based reporter gene assay. (Joyeux A. *et. al.*, 1997).

### Chapter 3

- Figure. 3.1** A summary of the the physical and biological cycles of endocrine disruptors in a model ecosystem (Trankvio, 2001; Shatalov *et al.* 2001).

### Chapter 4

- Figure. 4.1** Sampling point in Mai Po area. 1. Fish Pond; 2. Gai Wai; 3. The gate of Gai Wai; 4. Mid-pt of the mangrove; 5. mudflat near birdviewing hide; 6. Inner Deep Bay.

### Chapter 5

- Figure. 5.1** Summary of the extraction methods. The volume used for F1 is different for biota and abiota samples.

### Chapter 6

- Figure 6.1** Pictures of sampled biota.

### Chapter 7

- Figure 7.1** The OC pesticides (ng/g d.w.±standard deviations) in the sediment samples from Mai Po and A Chau in the wet and dry seasons The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP). Dry season (D), Wet season (W).
- Figure 7.2** Distribution of DDTs, DDEs and DDDs in the sediment from Mai Po and A Chau. \*

denotes a significant difference (Mann-Whitney test,  $p < 0.05$ ) in term of total DDTs. The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP). Dry season (D), Wet Season (W).

**Figure 7.3** The relationship between (DDD<sub>s</sub> + DDE<sub>s</sub>)/ total DDTs and DDD<sub>s</sub>/DDE<sub>s</sub> in sediment from A Chau and Mai Po. Points were DDT levels where below the detection limit are omitted. The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP). Dry season (D), Wet season (W).

**Figure 7.4** Composition of DDTs in the sediment. The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP) Dry season (D), Wet season (W).

**Figure 7.5** Hexachlorobezene (ng/g d.w.  $\pm$  standard deviation) concentrations in the sediment from Mai Po and A Chau in two seasons. \* denoted concentrations were significantly different between two seasons (Mann-Whitney,  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Figure 7.6** Distribution of HCHs (ng/g d.w.  $\pm$  standard deviation) in the sediment from Mai Po and A Chau. \* denotes a significant difference between wet and dry season (Mann-Whitney,  $p < 0.05$ ). The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP).

**Figure 7.7** Composition of Cyclodienes in the sediment from selected sites in Mai Po. The abbreviations of the locations are Inner Deep Bay (ID), *Gei wai* (GW) and Fish Pond (FP). Dry season (D), Wet season (W).

**Figure 7.8.** I-TEQ (pg/g)  $\pm$  standard deviations of the sediments from A Chau and Mai Po. The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP).

**Figure 7.9.** The distribution of non-ortho, mono-ortho and two di-ortho PCB congeners in sediments collected from Mai Po and A Chau (ng/g d.w.  $\pm$  standard deviations). The abbreviations of the locations are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP). Dry Season (D) and Wet Season (W).

**Figure 7.10** The distribution of OC pesticides (ng/g lipid weight  $\pm$  standard deviation) in various biota in Mai Po and A Chau. Dry Season (D), Wet Season (W).

- Figure 7.11** Composition of DDTs in the biota samples from Mai Po (a) and A Chau (b).
- Figure 7.12** Proportion of HCHs in the biotic samples.
- Figure 7.13** Concentration of cyclodiene (ng/g/ lipid w.t.±standard deviations) in the biotic samples. Dry season (D), Wet season (W).
- Figure 7.14** The ratio of endosulfan sulfate and endosulfan I and II in Mai Po (ES/EI+EII).

## **Chapter 8**

- Figure 8.1** Bulk Deposition Sampler. a. Whole setup of the sampler. b. Stainless net covering the bucket. c. Deposition samples collected in the bucket.
- Figure 8.2** Percentages of OC pesticides in the deposition fluxes in the dry and wet seasons in Mai Po.
- Figure 8.3** Percentages of OC pesticides in the deposition fluxes in the dry and wet seasons in A Chau.
- Figure 8.4.** Relationship between daily deposition flux, rainfall and particulate daily flux in the deposition samples at Mai Po.
- Figure 8.5.** Daily deposition fluxes of PCBs (in term of I-TEF normalized with mammals, birds and mammals).

## **Chapter 9**

- Figure 9.1** Standard curve (% TCDD-max±standard deviations) of TCDD of H4IIE (n=5) by 2,3,7,8-TCDD standard from 0.04pg/well-120.74pg/well.
- Figure 9.2.** The H4IIE response (% TCDD max±standard deviations) caused by sediment collected from Mai Po and A Chau (first screening). Significance levels were calculated from the deviation of solvent blank multiplied by three. The abbreviations of the locations, are A Chau (AC), Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP).
- Figure 9.3.** TCDD-like activity of sediment samples (2,3,7,8-TCDD-EQs) in two seasons indicated by H4IIE-luc screening. Response magnitude is presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 120.735pg/well 2,3,7,8-TCDD standards (% TCDD maximum±standard deviations).
- Figure 9.4** TCDD-like activity 2,3,7,8,-TCDD-Eqs of sediment samples with different fractions in 3-fold dilutions from Florsil fractionation in H4IIE-luc cell bioassay. Response magnitude presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 120.735pg 2,3,7,8-TCDD standard / well (% TCDD maximum ± standard deviation.). The abbreviations of the locations are Inner Deep Bay (ID), Near Birdviewing Hide (NB), *Gei wai* (GW), Near Birdviewing Hide (NG), Mid of the

mangrove (MM) and Fish Pond (FP). Dry Season (D), Wet Season (W).

- Figure 9.5** Luciferase induction in the H4IIE cell bioassay elicited by PAHs standards (100ng/well and 33ng/well). Response magnitude is presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 120.735pg 2,3,7,8-TCDD standards / well ( % TCDD maximum  $\pm$  standard deviations). The dashed horizontal line illustrates the 3 times mean of solvent blank.
- Figure 9.6** Luciferase induction in the H4IIE cell bioassay elicited by PAH standards mixtures (100, 33 and 11ng/well for each PAHs). Response magnitude is presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 120.735pg/well 2,3,7,8-TCDD standards ( % TCDD maximum  $\pm$  standard deviations). The dashed horizontal line illustrates the 3 times standard deviation of the solvent blank. Total was the group with mixture of different rings structures in exposure experiment.
- Figure 9.7** Composition of PAHs classified as ring structure for sediment samples. Dry Season (D), Wet Season (W).
- Figure 9.8** TCDD like response in H4IIE bioassay for animal samples collected during the dry and wet (first screening). Response magnitude is presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 120.735pg 2,3,7,8-TCDD standard / well ( % TCDD maximum). The dashed horizontal line illustrates 3 times of the solvent blank.
- Figure 9.9.** TCDD % of biota in different fractions in 3-fold dilutions following Florsil fractionation. Response magnitude is presented as the percentage of the maximum response observed for 120.735pg 2,3,7,8-TCDD standards /well ( % TCDD maximum). The dashed horizontal line illustrates 3 times standard deviation of the solvent blank.
- Figure 9.10** Composition of PAHs classified by ring structure for biota samples. Dry Season (D), Wet Season (W).
- Figure 9.11** Colour difference for the cells with cytotoxic effects by Promega Cell Titer Proliferation Assay Kit. Those wells with red colour (Abs 492) are healthy cells and a yellow coloration indicates stress. The small diagrams show the morphology of the cells with different degrees of cytotoxic stress by following exposure to environmental samples.
- Figure 9.12** Cytotoxicity mean  $\pm$  standard deviations of sediment from *gei wai* (n=3, dry seasons). The dashed horizontal line illustrates 3 times standard deviation of the solvent blank (n=10).
- Figure 9.13** Cytotoxicity mean  $\pm$  standard deviations of fish samples collected from the *gei wai* and the mudflat (n=3). The dashed horizontal line illustrates 3 times standard deviation of the solvent blank (n=10).



- Figure 9.14.** Cytotoxicity (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) of different fractions (F1, F2, F3,) of the biota samples. The dashed horizontal line illustrates 3 times standard deviation of the solvent blank. The blue bar is the undiluted samples, red bar is the 3 fold diluted samples and yellow bar is the 9 fold diluted sample.
- Figure 9.15** Effect of storage temperature on the cytotoxicity (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) of *Mugil marocephalus* after storage for 3 months.
- Figure 9.16** Cytotoxicity of the OC pesticide (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) mixture and PAHs to the H4IIE cells. The dashed horizontal line illustrates 3 times standard deviation of the solvent blank.
- Figure 9.17** MVLN standard curve (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) with E2 as standard (n=5). The concentrations ranged from 0.28 to 68.1pg/well.
- Figure 9.18.** Luciferase induction in MVLN-luc cell bioassay exposed to raw and acid treated samples .The Red line represent the significance level of the induction (from 3SD of the responses of solvent blanks (n=10)). Response magnitude presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 68.1pg/well E2 standards ( % E2 maximum $\pm$ standard deviations).
- Figure 9.19** Luciferase induction (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) in MVLN-luc cell bioassay with raw and fractionated sediment samples collected from Mai Po and A Chau. Response magnitude is presented as percentage of the maximum response observed for 68.1pg E2 standards /well (% E2 maximum.).