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Lecture: Intellectual Property Rights Concerning Digital Images of Cultural Heritage Items in Diversity

The topic “Intellectual property rights concerning digital images of cultural heritage items” occurred to me when I researched and analyzed a feasibility study concerning a digital museum based on UNESCO’s 2003 Charter on the Preservation of the Digital Heritage (hereinafter “Charter”). This charter presents points to be considered for creating a desirable rights-clearance system and business models regarding digital museums. When thinking about a digital museum, rights management of digital images of cultural heritage items should be considered. Thus far, however, studies concerning technical issues have been accumulated without the considering the rights of rights-holders.

According to the intellectual property rights concept that takes democracy as the frame of reference, “the copyright act, which regulates the circulation of information in cyberspace, should be based upon democratic principles, and it should be modified to contribute to the decentralization of power and to promote participation in the production of knowledge.” If a digital museum is conceptualized as a facility that evolves by engaging in interactive relationships with users, it can be argued that creating the norms in democratic discussions is more suitable than by top-down legislation. However, many librarians and academicians think that a mandatory set of
core “public interest” exceptions is more important and that discussions whether
general limitations are necessary should be continued from the standpoint of the
promotion of digitization.

Regarding the points to be considered in developing a desirable business model, the
first is that clear recognition of the value of digitized material is a given. Digitization
of material does not generate any copyright, and therefore the range of usage must
be clarified when making a license agreement concerning the material.

Are digitized materials Yours or Mine? That is what I would like to ask all the
concerned people who would like to protect the intellectual property rights
concerning digital images of cultural heritage items.